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Theorem 1. Prim's algorithm produces a minimal spanning tree.

Proof. Denote by $T_{i}$ the tree constructed after $i$ iterations of the algorithm, $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.
Hence the algorithm produces a spanning tree $T=T_{n-1}$ and suppose $T$ is not optimal. Let $T^{*}=\left(N, F^{*}\right)$ be an optimal tree that has as many edges in common with $T$ as possible.

As $T \neq T^{*}$, let $f=(a, b)$ be the first edge chosen by the algorithm (say in its $k$ th iteration, $k \leq n-1$ ) that is not in $T^{*}$. (Thus $f \in T_{k} \backslash T^{*}$.) Let $P$ be the path in $T^{*}$ from $a$ to $b$; and $f^{*}$ be an edge of $P$ between a node in $T_{k-1}$ and a node not in $T_{k-1}$ (Thus $f^{*} \in T^{*} \backslash T_{k}$.) Note that edge $f$ also has one end in $T_{k-1}$ and one end not in $T_{k-1}$ (but in $T_{k}$ ). We thus have $w(f) \leq w\left(f^{*}\right)$ because the algorithm has chosen $f$ over $f^{*}$.

Now $\hat{T} \equiv\left(N, F^{*} \cup\{f\} \backslash\left\{f^{*}\right\}\right)$ obtained from $T^{*}$ by replacing $f^{*}$ with $f$ is then an optimal tree. If $f^{*} \notin F$, then we have $|\hat{F} \backslash F|=\left|F^{*} \backslash F\right|-1$, which contradicts the choice of $T^{*}$. So, $T$ is optimal. Otherwise, $\hat{T}$ is a MST having maximal number of common edges with $T$. Furthermore, it contains a longer sequence $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}(=f)$ of the initial edges in $T$. Repeat the procedure, finally, we will have a MST, say $T^{\prime}$, either having one more common edges with $T$ than $T^{*}$ (leads to a contradiction) or $T^{\prime}=T$ (also leads to a contradiction that $T$ is not an optimal tree). Therefore, $T$ is a MST.

Alternative Proof. Suppose the connected graph $G$ has $n$ vertices. Prim's algorithm adds edges in some order $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ forming tree $T$.
Consider the finite set of all minimum spanning trees for $G$. Choose $T^{*}$ which contains the longest sequence $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$ of the initial edges in $T$.
If $T=T^{*}$, then $T$ is a MST and we are done.
Otherwise, let $T_{k}$ be the tree formed by the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$ with $k<n-1$. Since $T^{*}$ is a spanning tree, adding $e_{k=1}$ to $T^{*}$ will produce a cycle in $T^{*}$. Since $e_{k+1}$ shares a vertex with an edge in $T_{k}$, at least one of the vertices in $T_{k}$ is part of the cycle. Since $T$ is a tree it cannot contain a cycle, so there must be some edge $\hat{e}$ in the cycle that is part of $T^{*}$, but does have a vertex connect to $T_{k}$. (why?)
Let $T^{\prime}=T^{*} \cup\left\{e_{k+1}\right\} \backslash \hat{e} . T^{\prime}$ is a spanning tree. It also has no larger weight than $T^{*}$. But $T^{\prime}$ has a longer sequence of edges than does $T^{*}$. This contradicts the maximality of $T^{*}$.
Thus, it must always be true that $k=n-1$ and $T$ is one of the minimal spanning trees for $G$.

